

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mpno.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,752	03/05/2002	Albert D. Johns	02734-0504	7423
7590 10/24/2003		EXAMINER		
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.			NGUYEN, THUKHANH T	
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			1722	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/087,752	JOHNS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication com	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 41 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-40, drawn to an apparatus for forming a blank material, classified in class 425, subclass 394.
 - II. Claim 41, drawn to a method for forming a blank material, classified in class 264, subclass 334.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus in which heaters are imbedded in the die for heating the blank during the forming process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- During a telephone conversation with Mr. Michael Woods on October 7, 2003 a
 provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,752

Art Unit: 1722

Claim 41 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al (4,755,128) in view of Han (6,261,082).

Alexander et al disclose an apparatus for releasing a press-formed article from a die, comprising an upper and lower slidably knockouts (30, 64) having a shaft (65) with opposed ends slidably supported in a punch (18, 22) for selective axial movement between an extended position and a retracted position (Figs. 6-7), a plate (64) fixed to a first end of the shaft and disposed to support the blank (94, 96), and a bushing (28, 48) defining a lumen (32, 66) for slidably receiving the shaft (30, 65).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,752

Art Unit: 1722

Alexander et al fail to disclose that the shaft having a tapered portion fixed to the second end and the bushing having a tapered recess corresponding with the tapered portion of the shaft.

Han discloses a self-aligning manual die comprising a knockout shaft (64) having a product knockout member (66) at one end and a tapered portion (62) at the other end, a busing member (42) having a tapered portion (70) corresponding to the tapered end of the shaft in order to obtain a perfectly vertical aligned between the shaft and the busing. Therefore, the shear force or the friction force between the shaft and the busing would be minimized during the forming and ejecting procedures (col. 3, lines 12-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Alexander et al by providing bushing with a tapered portion corresponding to a tapered portion of the knockout shaft as taught by Han, because the tapered portions would provide a perfect vertically alignment between the shaft and the bushing. Thus, the friction force between the shaft and the bushing would be reduced while the wear resistance would be increased.

In regard to the shapes of the shaft, the lumen, and the tapered portions, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to change the shapes in according to the shape of the forming cavity or the availability of the equipments. There is no invention in merely changing the shape or form of an article without changing its function except in a design patent. See Eskimo Pie Corp. v. Levous et al., 3 USPQ 23 and In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)

Application/Control Number: 10/087,752

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1999 (200

10/18/03